

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
MAR 20 2007

Appl. No. 09/925,944
Supplemental Amdt. dated March 20, 2007
Reply to Office Action of December 12, 2006

Remarks

The present supplemental amendment responds to the Official Action dated December 12, 2006, and corrects and replaces the amendment filed March 9, 2007. Claims 13-31, 33, 34, 36, and 37 were previously canceled without prejudice as drawn to a nonelected invention. The Official Action rejected claims 1-12, 32, and 35 under 103(a) based on Antonin U.S. Publication No. 2002/0138446 ("Antonin") in view of Shooks U.S. Publication No. 2002/0019781 ("Shooks"). This ground of rejection is addressed below. Claims 1, 32, and 35 have been amended to be more clear and distinct. Claims 1-12, 32, and 35 are presently pending.

The Art Rejections

All of the present rejections are based on Antonin, in combination with Shooks. As addressed in greater detail below, Antonin and Shooks do not support the Official Action's reading of them and the rejections based thereupon should be reconsidered and withdrawn. Further, the Applicants do not acquiesce in the analysis of Antonin and Shooks made by the Official Action and respectfully traverse the Official Action's analysis underlying its rejections.

The Official Action rejected claims 1-12, 32, and 35 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Antonin in view of Shooks. In light of the present amendments to claims 1, 32, and 35 this ground of rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 1, as amended, addresses reformatting ATM data into a form usable by a server on a global communications network, with reformatting including translating the ATM data into a self contained package allowing for processing by one or more electronic merchant servers in a native format used by the one or more electronic merchant servers. Claim 1 further addresses

Appl. No. 09/925,944
Supplemental Amdt. dated March 20, 2007
Reply to Office Action of December 12, 2006

reformatting merchant data for use by an ATM, with reformatting including translating the merchant data into a self contained package in a native format used by the ATM. These limitations in the combination of claim 1 are not taught and are not made obvious by Antonin, Shooks, or a combination thereof. Antonin teaches an intermediary device providing services to financial services terminals, such as ATMS, with the intermediary receiving inputs from a financial services terminal and responding to the inputs by transferring data between the terminal and the intermediary device and between the intermediary device and a server or other remote device with which communication is to be carried out in order to conduct the transaction, such as the service provider system 131. Antonin teaches an operation conducted under the control of software within the intermediary device, with the intermediary device selecting and formatting data to be transmitted. Antonin does not teach the formatting of data into a self contained package in the native format of an electronic merchant server or of an ATM, as appropriate, as claimed by claim 1, as amended. Formatting data into a self contained package allows for more flexibility of operation, without the need for control of the transaction by an intermediary.

Adding Shooks to Antonin does not cure Antonin's deficiencies as a reference, with respect to claim 1, as amended. Shooks teaches a system of communication between a customer and an electronic commerce website, allowing for stored value information to be transmitted to an electronic commerce website on direction by a customer. Shooks does not teach translating data for transmission between an ATM and a vendor. Claim 1, as amended, therefore defines over the cited art and should be allowed.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 20 2007


Appl. No. 09/925,944
Supplemental Amdt. dated March 20, 2007
Reply to Office Action of December 12, 2006

Claims 32 and 35 include similar limitations to claim 1, and should be allowed for the reasons stated above with respect to claim 1.

Conclusion

All of the presently pending claims, as amended, appearing to define over the applied references, withdrawal of the present rejection and prompt allowance are requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Peter H. Priest
Reg. No. 30,210
Priest & Goldstein, PLLC
5015 Southpark Drive, Suite 230
Durham, NC 27713-7736
(919) 806-1600